

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,034	01/31/2007	David Bassin	3869/029 US	1908	
22440 GOTTLIEB R	7590 06/09/201 ACKMAN & REISMA	EXAMINER			
270 MADISO	ON AVENUE LOUIS, LATOYA M			АТОУА М	
8TH FLOOR NEW YORK.	NY 10016-0601	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3771		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/09/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,034		BASSIN, DAVID		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LATOYA LOUIS	3771		

	LATOYA LOUIS	3771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, whi	choverie later In		
☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00(-) ! !!			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			e appeal. Since a		
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. 			cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L bolow),			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that soft the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 112-134.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/Justine R Yu/	// ATOVA LOUIS!				
,000011011101	/LATOYA LOUIS/				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771

Examiner, Art Unit 3771 6/2/2010

Continuation of 3. The newly added limitations in the proposed amendment, for example, the phrase "controlling the level of pressure" and defining the target value as a ventilation value in claim 112, and "providing ventilatory pressure support" in claims 113-134 raised new issues which would require further consideration and search. It is noted that the proposed amendment doesn't compliant with 37 CFR 1.121 because markings are missing in the amended claims. It is also noted in applicant's arguments/emarks that applicant gives a definition and an explanation of the otherwise broad terms, "control response" on page 9 para. 2, "target value," page 12 para. 2, and "response speed" on page 13 para. 1, for example. However, the arguments are irrelevant because the stated definitions and explanations for these terms are not found in the claim 112 language.